

Docket No.: 95-469



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

TURNER et al.

Serial No.: 09/955,129

Filed: September 19, 2001

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER
37 CFR §1.116**

Group Art Unit: 2674

Examiner: WU, Xiao Min

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR ARBITRATION OF DISPLAY INFORMATION FROM
MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS FOR A NETWORK ENABLED DISPLAY DEVICE

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

*please enter
4/16/06
km*

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed February 7, 2006, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-48 are unchanged and remain pending in the application.

Claims 1-11, 13-23, 25-35, and 37-47 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0026244 by Pietrowicz et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,275,226 to Uchida et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,712,995 to Cohn. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As described in detail below, the §103 rejection is improper because it fails to provide any evidence that establishes that one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the primary reference (Pietrowicz et al), to include the teachings of the second and third references (Uchida et